Questionnaire 3D-Cadastres: status September 2014 Norway



This questionnaire is an activity of the FIG working group 3D-Cadastres 2014-2018. The purpose of the survey is to make a world-wide inventory of the status of 3D-Cadastres at this moment and the plans/expectations for the near future (2018). By sharing this information, it should be possible to improve cooperation, learn from each other and support future developments. This is the second time that the questionnaire on 3D-Cadastres is conducted by the FIG working group on 3D-Cadastres. The first time was in 2010 in order to document the status in 2010 and expectations back then for 2014. The responses have been analysed (van Oosterom et al. 2011, Karki 2013). For more information on the FIG working group on 3D-Cadastres see the website www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres. Now a few notes and suggestions, which should be helpful when completing the questionnaire:

- The conceptual model used as background for the 3D Cadastres questionnaire is the ISO 19152 standard (ISO, 2012): the Land Administration Domain Model (LADM).
- In this questionnaire the concept of 3D-Cadastres with 3D parcels (or 3D spatial units in LADM terminology) is intended in the broadest possible sense. However, what exactly is (or could be) a 3D parcel is dependent on the legal and organizational context in the specific country (state, province). 3D parcels include land and water spaces, both above and below surface.
- A more formal definition: A 3D parcel is defined as the spatial unit against which (one or more) unique and homogeneous¹ rights (e.g. ownership right, lease or other land use right), responsibilities or restrictions are associated to the whole entity, as included in a Land Administration system.
- As the definition above is quite abstract, it is tried in the questions to be more specific and real
 world situations are used. Many examples with partial/preliminary answers from 2010 are
 available on-line at http://www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres/participants/. Inspecting some of the
 completed 2010 questionnaires from different other countries might help when formulation
 the answers for your jurisdiction.
- A 3D parcel is a 'legal object' describing a part of the space. Often there is a relationship with a real world/physical object, which can also be described in 3D. Please be aware of the difference between these two types of objects and that the focus in the context of 3D-Cadastres is on spaces of the legal objects and not the registration of the physical objects as such.
- If a certain question is not relevant or if you have no clue what to respond, do not spend any time on this (and leave the field blank).
- The questionnaire has been prepared by a mixed Australian (Rod Thompson/Sudarshan Karki)/Dutch (Jantien Stoter/Hendrik Ploeger/Christiaan Lemmen/Peter van Oosterom) team. The questionnaire is grouped in the number of blocks. This has no meaning in the sense of priority and it is often the case that a question could belong to multiple blocks. Please do not feel disturbed by this.
- Similar to the Questionnaire 3D-Cadastres, the completed forms will be made available on website of FIG working group on 3D Cadastres.
- Please complete this questionnaire before 1 October 2014 and send it to
 P.J.M.vanOosterom@tudelft.nl (or Peter van Oosterom, TU Delft, OTB, P.O. Box 5030, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands).

¹ Homogenous means that the same combination of rights equally apply within the whole 3D spatial unit. Unique means that this is the largest spatial unit for which this is true. Making the unit any larger would result in the combination of rights not being homogenous. Making the unit smaller would result in at least 2 neighbour 3D parcels with the same combinations of rights.

1. General/applicable 3D real-world situations

This part of the questionnaire refers to the applicable 3D real-world situations to be registered by 3D parcels. It also addressed the types of 3D geometries, which are considered to be valid 3D representations for these parcels.

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
1.1. Are all 3D parcels (3D	No	Same
spatial units in LADM	Construction that is	Starting to get full
terminology) constrained to	registered as	3D registration for
be within one surface 2D	"anleggseiendom" can	3Dparcels
parcel?	be above or below one	1
	or many 2D parcels.	
	Condominium,	
	"eierseksjoner", refer	
	normally to one 2D	
	parcel.	
1.2. Are 2D and/or 3D	Not for 3D parcels (anleggsprojeksjonsflate)	Same
ambulatory ² boundaries	Only allowed when an	
permitted?	"anleggseiendom" also	
	have a part at ground	
	level (teig).	
1.3.a. Is it allowed to have	No,	Same
3D parcels (spatial units)	but allowed when it have	
not related to physical	been given a building	
constructs or objects? (e.g.	permit to start building	
airspace, subsurface	the construction from	
volumes)	the building authority.	
1.3.b. If 1.3.a positive:		
approximately what		
proportion of new 3D		
parcels (spatial units)		
would involve such cases		
(not related to physical		
object)?		
1.4. Are disconnected parts	Yes	Same
of a single 3D parcel		
allowed?		
1.5. Spatial limitation – e.g.	Yes (by law)	Same
must the 3D parcel be	"Anleggseiendom" must	
related to a closed volume	have a boundary	
or is it allowed to have	horizontal and vertical	
'open' or unbounded 3D	against property at the	
parcels (e.g. towards the	surface.	
sky).?	No (not registered in the cadastre database yet)	
1.6. Are curved surfaces to	Yes	
bound the 3D parcels		
allowed?		
anoweu:		

_

² An ambulatory boundary is a boundary of a land parcel which follows the movements of a natural feature such as a river. Its position determined at points of time (when a survey is carried out), but between such "fixes", the definition of the property is the position of the real world natural feature.

1.7. Must the curved	No	
surfaces (if allowed) be		
cylindrical sections, or any		
other constraint?		
1.8. Any other constraints –	Only horizontal surfaces (footprint)	Starting to get full
e.g. all surfaces must be	_	3D registration for
horizontal or vertical?		3Dparcels
1.9. Is there legislation (law	Matrikkelforskriften	
and/or regulations) for 3D	(regulation) article 29.	
descriptions of parcels? If	(Act on a national register for land information	
so please, mention law and	(Cadastre Act))	
article(s).	(Calabasi 1100))	
1.10. Is the legal text	Yes	
_	Enclosed the 2010 answer	
available in original	Eliciosed the 2010 aliswer	
language?	NT.	
1.11. Is the legal text	No	
(relevant part) available in		
English translation?		
1.12. Do you have example	Yes, See 1.10.	
descriptions of typical 3D		
parcels; either 'prototype'		
or 'operational'?		
1.13. Is there a formal	No, we register 3D parcels in 2D (footprint).	
model for the 3D parcels	Yes, model of 2D (in general):	
(UML style); e.g. based on	http://www.kartverket.no/Standarder/SOSI/SOSI-	
ISO TC211 series	standarden-del-2/	
(especially LADM, ISO	Adresser 4.5, Bygninger 4.5 (only	
19152)?	bygningspunkt) and Eiendomsinformasjon 4.5	
1.14. Are natural resources	No	
(groundwater, mining		
rights) shown in your land		
administration? If yes, are		
they considered as 3D		
1		
parcels (spatial units) with		
RRRs attached?	NT /	
1.15. Are legally restricted	Not yet	
spaces, above or below,		
such as polluted areas		
considered as 3D parcels?		
1.16. Are spatial plans	No	Research work
considered as 3D parcels		
(as rights or restrictions are		
related to them)?		
Sometimes also called		
spatial development plans,		
zoning plans or physical		
plans (land use, urban,		
regional, environmental,)		
1.17. Any other geometric		
issues related to 3D		
parcels?		

2. Infrastructure/utility networks

This refers to the situation where an infrastructure network is considered to be defined within the land administration. For example in some jurisdictions, an underground network might be privately constructed for the purpose of leasing space in it for other organisations to run cabling. In this case, a network, or part of that network may be considered to be a real estate object.

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
2.1. Do you register networks as an	No	
entity in the land administration? (e.g.	Network for	
subterranean conduit networks)	telecommunication,	
,	water and electricity is	
	not registered in the	
	cadastre.	
2.2. If so, then		
(a) can the network structure be viewed		
graphically in the land administration?		
(b) can the network structure be traced		
in the database(s)?		
(c) are networks registered by means of		
a cadastral identifier (such as a 'parcel		
number')?		
(d) are RRRs and parties attached to		
these network objects?		
2.3. Does the jurisdiction have private	Yes	
networks? If so please, mention law		
and article(s).		
2.4. If so, are they registered as 3D		
property parcels (spatial units)?		
2.5. Is the text of relevant laws or		
regulations (question 2.3) available in		
original language? If so, give references		
to relevant document(s).		
2.6. Is the text of laws and regulations		
(relevant part) available in English		
translation?		
2.7. Do you have example descriptions		
of typical 3D parcels (spatial units) for		
networks; either 'prototype' or		
'operational'?		
2.8. If the network (legal) objects break		
at the surface parcel, how do you deal		
with intersecting networks or vertically		
parallel networks?		
2.9. Any other geometric issues related		
to the registration of networks?		

3. Construction/building units

This refers to 3D properties that are related to constructions and apartment (condominium) buildings. The individual units are often defined by the actual walls and structure of a building, rather than by metes and bounds. E.g. "unit 5 on level 6 of ... building".

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
3.1. Do you register 3D	Yes	-
construction/building units?		
3.2. If so, what are the most important	Most condominium.	
types? E.g. apartment units, or also		
other buildings or even more general		
constructions (infra related; such as		
bridge, tunnel or even other, such as		
windmills,)		
3.3. Does the jurisdiction have	Lov om eierseksjoner,	
construction/building units? If so	23.05.1997 nr. 31.	
please, mention law and article(s).	(Condominiums)	
	The Property Unit	
	Ownership Act	
3.4. Is the legal text available in	Yes	
original language?	37	
3.5. Is the legal text (relevant part)	Yes	
available in English translation?	Daniel at a constant	
3.6. Do you have example descriptions	Description off condominiums is not	
of typical 3D parcels; either 'prototype' or 'operational'?	stored in the DCDB,	
or operational?	but in the Land Book	
3.7. What would be typical 3D	Mostly the boundaries	
boundaries in an apartment complex:	will follow middle of	
middle of the wall and floor/ceiling, or	the wall and	
walls, floors/ceiling as neutral/shared	floor/celing.	
3D space? Is it mentioned in any		
legislation or is it the convention?		
3.8. Is common property inside the	Yes (boolean)	
building registered? If so, how?	It is marked on plans	
	for each floor what	
	belong to various flats	
	(sections). The rest of	
	the area is common.	
3.9. Who owns the common property	Owner of the flats	
inside the building?	(sections) in the	
2.10 W/L	building(s).	
3.10. Who owns the land on which the	Owner of the flats	
apartment is built?	(sections) in the	
3.11. Do you allow sub-division of	building(s).	
apartments or apartment blocks?		
3.12. Can the land on which the		
building is built be sub-divided or sold		
or mortgaged without the consent of		
of mortgaged without the consent of		

majority of the apartment owners?		
3.13. What is the numbering	Cadastre number:	
convention for apartments (please	Kkkk gg/bb/ff/ss	
specify in terms of cadastral parcel as	Kkkk= municipality nr	
well as street addressing)	Gg/bb/ff = cadastral nr	
	Ss= The sections	
	(apartments) number	
	Street addressing:	
	Full address (street- and	
	appartmentsnumber):	
	Storgata 12B H0405	
	"Apartments" number :	
	Level type (etasjenplan)	
	(basement=K, main=H)	
	Level number	
	(etasjenummer)	
	Number within level	
	(løpenummerer)	
	Example:	
	Apartmentsnumber: H0102	
3.14. Any other geometric issues?		

4. X/Y Coordinates

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
4.1. Do the plans of survey guarantee	"Anleggseiendom"	
X/Y coordinates? (and are they relative	have X/Y coordinates	
or in an absolute spatial reference	in an absolute spatial	
system?)	reference system.	
4.2. Are the cadastral database	Yes	
coordinates authoritative?	(and the marking in the	
	fields)	
4.3. If not, what is the authoritative		
source of X/Y coordinates?		
4.4. Do you have parcels defined by the	No.	
walls of a building (with no recorded	Not registrated in the	
geometry)?	cadastres system	
4.5. What is the spatial reference	EUREF89	
system for X/Y Coordinates?		
4.6. Any other X/Y coordinate issues?		

5. Z Coordinates/height representation

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
5.1. Are the Z coordinates of 3D		Started to get full 3D
parcels relative to local ground?		registration for 3Dparcels
5.2. Are Z coordinates reduced to a		
standard datum (absolute)? If so, what		
is the spatial reference system for the Z		
coordinate?		
5.3. In principle possible to store both		
relative and absolute Z coordinate?		
5.4. Is the earth surface (height)		
explicitly stored (in the DCDB or other		
accessible register)?		
5.5. What is the source of elevation for		
the 2D surface parcel?		
5.6. Any other Z coordinate issues?	It is possible to store	
	elevation for all	
	boundary marks, but it	
	is not recommended.	
	We do not have a good	
	treatment of "z"	

6. Temporal Issues

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
6.1. Are temporal limits part of the	No	
definition of a parcel (2D or 3D)?		
6.2. Are moving parcels allowed?	No	
6.3. Are there any limitations on the		
range of temporal limits?		
(e.g. only on 3D apartments).		
6.4. Are there any attempt to integrate		
3D space and temporal representations,		
into a single 4D space/time		
representation?		
6.5. In the case of tidal boundaries,		
what happens to the 3D ambulatory		
parcel if the 2D land parcel changes		
extent due to the movement of High		
Water Mark?		
6.6. Any other temporal issues?		

7. Rights, Restrictions and Responsibilities

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
7.1. Range of RRR on 3D parcels.	Same as 2D	
7.2. Are there any limitations on the	No	
range of rights related to 3D spatial		
units? (e.g. subterranean parcels must		
be owned by Govt).		
7.3. Are there any limitations on the		
range of restrictions or responsibilities		
related to 3D spatial units? (i.e.		
currently in use and related to 2D		
spatial units, but that would not be		
applicable to 3D).		
7.4. Are there RRRs that are only	No	
allowed in 3D (and not valid for 2D)		
7.5. Is there specific legislation (laws,		
regulations) defining 3D RRR types? If		
so, provide details, e.g. references to		
documents/ articles.		
7.6. Can 3D sub-surface/above-surface	Yes	
parcel be owned by someone other that		
the person owning the land parcel?		
7.7. What applications do you foresee		
for 3D land administration?		
7.8. Are the administrative source		
documents (source of RRRs) title or		
deed based?		
7.9 Who is responsible for the		
correctness of the specified 3D		
boundaries in spatial source documents		
(which authority)?		
7.10. Is registration of 3D parcels done		
inside the cadastral mapping agency,		
the land registry or elsewhere?		
7.11. Are 3D registrations handled by		
the same organisation that handles		
traditional (2D) land administration?		
7.12. Do you supply paper-based titles		
or deeds or proof of ownership? If yes,		
does this contain depictions of the 2D		
or 3D parcel?		
7.13. Any other RRR issues?		

8. DCDB (The Cadastral Database)

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
8.0. Is database schema LADM based?	See 1.13	
8.1. Does the DCDB contain	"Anleggseiendom":	
representation of 3D parcels (in any	Volumes represented as 2D	
form)?	in air, undergrounds and in	
	water.	
	Condominiums:	
	are connected to	
	buildingpoint (and addresses,	
	cadastral parcels)	
8.2. If so, how are they represented (in	Volumes:	
the DCDB)?	As 2D. And marked with	
	"air" or "underground" etc	
8.3. If so, how are they presented on	As polygons with	
cadastral "maps" (including screen	pattern who view if the	
presentations)?	parcel is above, below	
	or in sea/water.	
8.4. Are there possibilities to store	As 2D	
geometry of 3D parcels in the DCDB?		
8.5. Is it possible to manage a 3D	No	
topological structure in the DCDB?		
8.6. Are constraints/rules defined for	As 2D objects, must be	
valid 3D objects (closed volume, no	polygons.	
overlap, no gap in 3D)? What about		
rules for a mix of 2D and 3D		
representations?		
8.7. How can internal and external user	In the map or	
query and visualize the 3D content	information about the	
supporting rotating, slicing,	parcel.	
transparency, perspective (3D		
web/view service, 3D pdf		
documents,)?		
8.8. What Spatial DBMS software do	Oracle. No 3D used at	
you use? Any 3D capabilities included	this moment.	
and used?		
8.9. Do you have any validation rules		
for 3D representation in the database?		
8.10. What (GIS/CAD) software is used	Statens kartverk have	
for updating, editing, analysis, and	made a client for	
visualization of the cadastral data? Any	updating. The	
3D capabilities included and used?	municipalities can use	
8.11. What web software is used for	other software. No 3D cap.	
remote data access/distribution and		
visualization? Any 3D capabilities included and used?		
8.12. Is your DCDB organised as		

Multi-Layers or Object Oriented or		
some other data model?		
8.13. How do you query 3D objects in	As all other objects.	
your DCDB?		
8.14. Is it possible to query	Yes	
neighbourhood parcels to a 3D object,		
vertically as well as horizontally?		
8.15. Any other DCDB issues?		

9. Plans of Survey (including field sketches)

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
9.1. Do the survey plans carry 3D		•
parcel representations?		
9.2. If so, how are they represented?		
9.3. Is there specific legislation		
(regulations) describing the		
requirements for Plans of Survey in		
3D? If so, please give link to the		
relevant documents.		
9.4. Is sketch level allowed (low	Yes	
geometric quality, but in principle		
enough to indicate the 3D object)?		
9.5. Is it possible to define a 3D parcel	No	
by referring to other 3D real world		
objects/ topography (and not specifying		
coordinates)?		
9.6. In what format are the 3D parcels		
submitted for registration; attached to		
legal document in a single pdf (which		
has good 3D capabilities) or in an		
extension of (city)GML for 3D parcels,		
or?	2D	
9.7. Are the 3D parcels somehow	3D parcels below	
checked for spatial validity; e.g.	ground level should be a closed volume.	
volume is closed, does not overlap with	a closed volume.	
neighbour volume (and also no		
unwanted 3D gaps)? 9.8. Do you have examples of	No	
(prototype or production) 3D survey	110	
plans available?		
9.9. Are any reference objects visible	No	
on the survey plan (e.g. real buildings,	110	
roads, that is 3D topography)?		
9.10. What form of 3D data acquisition		
is used (CAD, terrestrial surveying,		
sketches, stereo/oblique images, laser		
scanning,)?		
9.11. What software do you use for		
creating and processing survey plans?		
Any 3D capabilities included and used?		
9.12. Can 3D parcels be subdivided,	Yes, they can be	
consolidated or nullified?	subdivided. It can be	
	change in extents	
	against other 3D	
	parcels.	
	3D parcels can be	
	nullified when the	
	building permit has	

	gone out three years after it is given, and the owner of the 3D property have not started building the construction who is going to be the 3D property	
9.13. Is there any existing technical circular or directive to assist Surveyors in 3D data collection in the field?		
9.14. Are the surveyors required to		
undertake a field survey for 3D cadastral data?		
9.15. Are building construction plans used to compile 3D cadastral information for apartments?		
9.16. Is 2D/3D field survey done by private licensed surveyors or by		
government surveyors?		
9.17. Are plans of survey created for each new 2D/3D parcel or are they updated in an index map or a cadastral database.		
9.18. Do you show dimensions or isometric views of 3D parcels on		
survey plans (do you also store this in a database) 9.19. Any other survey plan issues?		

10. Dissemination of 3D Cadastral information

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
10.1. Is there a general purpose web-	Yes (www.seeiendom.no),	
based dissemination of 2D cadastral	Yes, partly	
(graphical or text) information (e.g. a		
portal for the public or for professionals)?		
If yes, does it include 3D data?		
10.2. Are specific file formats or	No (2D, not fully 3D	
standards used to distribute 3D Cadastral	representation)	
information? (e.g. LandXML, CityGML,		
BIM/IFC, 3D pdf,)		
10.3. Are there specific cartographic	Yes, pattern and colour, on	
styling rules for representing 3D cadastral	top of the ground cadastral	
plans, or to represent 3D cadastral objects	parcels	
on 2D cadastral maps?		
10.4. Are there specific cartographic		
styling rules for 3D cadastral maps		
(models; e.g. as disseminated in 3D pdf)?		
If yes, are there 3D specific cartographic		
rules developed or being developed?	37	
10.5. Is the 3D Cadastral information	Yes	
accessible in integrated manner with the		
2D Cadastral information?	See 10.3	
10.6. Are there specific symbols on the	See 10.3	
2D cadastral map (paper, digital or webbased) indicating the presence of 3D		
Cadastral objects (and in web-context		
perhaps even linked)?		
10.7. Is the legal information (RRRs and	Yes	
Parties) available in integrated manner in	"Bekreftet grunnbokutskrift"	
dissemination portal with the 3D	Dekietet grunnookutskiit	
Cadastral objects? (even if source of legal		
data may be a different organization, but		
then use information infrastructure		
approach)		
10.8. Are 2D/3D cadastral data available	Yes, but not all of the	
to the general public or just to the relevant	information	
parties?		
10.9. Any other 3D cadastral information		
dissemination issues?		

11. Statistical information

This part of the questionnaire refers to statistical information (and is most relevant for jurisdictions with parts of 3D Cadastre registration operational, but all are encouraged to complete this section, and especially the expectations for 2018).

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
11.1. What is the smallest 2D and 3D	-	
parcel that is present/ allowed to be		
registered in the land administration?		
11.2. What is the largest 2D and 3D		
parcel that is present allowed to be		
registered in the land administration?		
11.3. What is the typical (or average)		
size of 2D and 3D parcels which are		
registered in the land administration?		
Subdivide by nature of 3D parcel when		
relevant (e.g. related to building,		
apartment, airspace, tunnel,)		
11.4. How many 2D and 3D parcels do		
you currently have in your land		
administration?		
11.5. Which year did you start	2010	
registering 3D parcels in the land		
administration?		
11.6. What is the ratio of 3D parcels in		
rural vs. urban areas?		
11.7. Please specify names of cities or	Oslo	
towns or suburbs or regions or	Bærum	
locations where there are significant		
numbers of 3D parcels.		
11.8. Please provide the following data:		
(a) Size of jurisdiction in square		
kilometres		
(b) Current number of 2D parcels		
(c) Current number of 3D parcels		
(d) Current population		
11.9. Approximately what are the		
proportions of various types of the 3D		
parcels (related to apartments,		
subsurface parking, subsurface		
shopping centres, bridges, tunnels,		
airspace, utility networks, etc)?		
11.10. Approximately what surface area		
of the jurisdiction is affected by 3D		
parcels (the total area of all the		
footprint of all 3D parcels).		
11.11. Any other interesting statistical		
fact(s)?		

12. Reflection

This section is only relevant in case also in 2010 the 3D cadastres questionnaire for your jurisdictions was completed (otherwise skip this section).

	Remarks
12.1. Compared to the 2010	
expectations, which 3D land	
administration developments did go	
faster than expected?	
12.2. Same question, but now, which	
developments did go slower than	
expected?	
12.3. If some (limited) form of 3D	
Land administration functionality has	
become available, what are the	
observed benefits? And for who?	
12.4. What are the (top-3) challenges of	
issues to be addressed to realize further	
3D Land administration progress?	
12.5. Any other reflections?	

13. Other Issues

Please include any other issues that may be of interest in an international context. For example, in some foreign jurisdictions 3D parcels can only be separated by horizontal planes.

	Remarks
13.1. Country (State, Province)	Norway
13.2. Your name,	Magni Busterud
function/position and	Senior Engineer
your organization	Norwegian Mapping Authority, Mapping and Cadastre
13.3. Contact details:	Kartverket
address	Phone: +47 32 11 87 02
email,	E-mail: magni.busterud@kartverket.no
telephone	Web: www.kartverket.no
13.4. Other issues	

References

ISO 19152:2012 'Geographic information - Land Administration Domain Model (LADM), http://www.iso.org/iso/iso_catalogue/catalogue_tc/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=51206

Peter van Oosterom, Jantien Stoter, Hendrik Ploeger, Rod Thompson and Sudarshan Karki (2011). World-wide Inventory of the Status of 3D Cadastres in 2010 and Expectations for 2014. presented at the FIG Working Week 2011, Marrakech, 21 p.

http://www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres/literature/3Dcad_2011_02.pdf

Sudarshan Karki (2013). 3D Cadastre Implementation Issues in Australia. MSc Thesis, University of Southern Queensland (Master of Spatial Science Research), 162 p., http://eprints.usq.edu.au/23560/1/Karki_2013_whole.pdf