

Questionnaire 3D-Cadastres: status September 2014 Portugal



This questionnaire is an activity of the FIG working group 3D-Cadastres 2014-2018. The purpose of the survey is to make a world-wide inventory of the status of 3D-Cadastres at this moment and the plans/expectations for the near future (2018). By sharing this information, it should be possible to improve cooperation, learn from each other and support future developments. This is the second time that the questionnaire on 3D-Cadastres is conducted by the FIG working group on 3D-Cadastres. The first time was in 2010 in order to document the status in 2010 and expectations back then for 2014. The responses have been analysed (van Oosterom et al. 2011, Karki 2013). For more information on the FIG working group on 3D-Cadastres see the website www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres. Now a few notes and suggestions, which should be helpful when completing the questionnaire:

- The conceptual model used as background for the 3D Cadastres questionnaire is the ISO 19152 standard (ISO, 2012): the Land Administration Domain Model (LADM).
- In this questionnaire the concept of 3D-Cadastres with 3D parcels (or 3D spatial units in LADM terminology) is intended in the broadest possible sense. However, what exactly is (or could be) a 3D parcel is dependent on the legal and organizational context in the specific country (state, province). 3D parcels include land and water spaces, both above and below surface.
- A more formal definition: A 3D parcel is defined as the spatial unit against which (one or more) unique and homogeneous¹ rights (e.g. ownership right, lease or other land use right), responsibilities or restrictions are associated to the whole entity, as included in a Land Administration system.
- As the definition above is quite abstract, it is tried in the questions to be more specific and real world situations are used. Many examples with partial/preliminary answers from 2010 are available on-line at <http://www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres/participants/>. Inspecting some of the completed 2010 questionnaires from different other countries might help when formulation the answers for your jurisdiction.
- A 3D parcel is a 'legal object' describing a part of the space. Often there is a relationship with a real world/physical object, which can also be described in 3D. Please be aware of the difference between these two types of objects and that the focus in the context of 3D-Cadastres is on spaces of the legal objects and not the registration of the physical objects as such.
- If a certain question is not relevant or if you have no clue what to respond, do not spend any time on this (and leave the field blank).
- The questionnaire has been prepared by a mixed Australian (Rod Thompson/Sudarshan Karki)/Dutch (Jantien Stoter/Hendrik Ploeger/Christiaan Lemmen/Peter van Oosterom) team. The questionnaire is grouped in the number of blocks. This has no meaning in the sense of priority and it is often the case that a question could belong to multiple blocks. Please do not feel disturbed by this.
- Similar to the Questionnaire 3D-Cadastres, the completed forms will be made available on website of FIG working group on 3D Cadastres.
- Please complete this questionnaire before *1 October 2014* and send it to P.J.M.vanOosterom@tudelft.nl (or Peter van Oosterom, TU Delft, OTB, P.O. Box 5030, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands).

¹ Homogenous means that the same combination of rights equally apply within the whole 3D spatial unit. Unique means that this is the largest spatial unit for which this is true. Making the unit any larger would result in the combination of rights not being homogenous. Making the unit smaller would result in at least 2 neighbour 3D parcels with the same combinations of rights.

1. General/applicable 3D real-world situations

This part of the questionnaire refers to the applicable 3D real-world situations to be registered by 3D parcels. It also addressed the types of 3D geometries, which are considered to be valid 3D representations for these parcels.

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
1.1. Are all 3D parcels (3D spatial units in LADM terminology) constrained to be within one surface 2D parcel?	Yes.	
1.2. Are 2D and/or 3D ambulatory ² boundaries permitted?	No.	
1.3.a. Is it allowed to have 3D parcels (spatial units) not related to physical constructs or objects? (e.g. airspace, subsurface volumes)	Yes, such as: crops, underground waters or other water bodies.	
1.3.b. If 1.3.a positive: approximately what proportion of new 3D parcels (spatial units) would involve such cases (not related to physical object)?	No idea!	
1.4. Are disconnected parts of a single 3D parcel allowed?	Yes.	
1.5. Spatial limitation – e.g. must the 3D parcel be related to a closed volume or is it allowed to have ‘open’ or unbounded 3D parcels (e.g. towards the sky).?	Manmade structures, yes. Land parcels, not really - ownerships rights are unbounded both towards the sky & underground.	
1.6. Are curved surfaces to bound the 3D parcels allowed?	No restrictions or specifications.	
1.7. Must the curved surfaces (if allowed) be cylindrical sections, or any other constraint?	Not applicable.	
1.8. Any other constraints – e.g. all surfaces must be horizontal or vertical?	Not applicable.	
1.9. Is there legislation (law and/or regulations) for 3D descriptions of parcels? If so please, mention law and article(s).	Yes, applying only to manmade infrastructures.	
1.10. Is the legal text available in original language?	Yes.	
1.11. Is the legal text (relevant part) available in English translation?	No, only in Portuguese – the only official language.	
1.12. Do you have example descriptions of typical 3D parcels; either ‘prototype’ or ‘operational’?	<i>E.g.</i> the so-called “horizontal fractions” within buildings – basically correspond to independent property units.	
1.13. Is there a formal model for the 3D	No, not yet...	

² An ambulatory boundary is a boundary of a land parcel which follows the movements of a natural feature such as a river. Its position determined at points of time (when a survey is carried out), but between such “fixes”, the definition of the property is the position of the real world natural feature.

parcels (UML style); e.g. based on ISO TC211 series (especially LADM, ISO 19152)?		
1.14. Are natural resources (groundwater, mining rights) shown in your land administration? If yes, are they considered as 3D parcels (spatial units) with RRRs attached?	Yes.	
1.15. Are legally restricted spaces, above or below, such as polluted areas considered as 3D parcels?	Not as such.	
1.16. Are spatial plans considered as 3D parcels (as rights or restrictions are related to them)? Sometimes also called spatial development plans, zoning plans or physical plans (land use, urban, regional, environmental,...)	Not really. A spatial plan may well include within it or even generate itself new 3D parcels, but not the spatial plan itself.	
1.17. Any other geometric issues related to 3D parcels?		

2. Infrastructure/utility networks

This refers to the situation where an infrastructure network is considered to be defined within the land administration. For example in some jurisdictions, an underground network might be privately constructed for the purpose of leasing space in it for other organisations to run cabling. In this case, a network, or part of that network may be considered to be a real estate object.

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
2.1. Do you register networks as an entity in the land administration? (e.g. subterranean conduit networks)	No. Supply infrastructure networks constitute a different matter and are subject to specific legislation.	
2.2. If so, then (a) can the network structure be viewed graphically in the land administration? (b) can the network structure be traced in the database(s)? (c) are networks registered by means of a cadastral identifier (such as a 'parcel number')? (d) are RRRs and parties attached to these network objects?	Not applicable.	
2.3. Does the jurisdiction have private networks? If so please, mention law and article(s).	No. Only state companies own infrastructure networks.	
2.4. If so, are they registered as 3D property parcels (spatial units)?	Not applicable.	
2.5. Is the text of relevant laws or regulations (question 2.3) available in original language? If so, give references to relevant document(s).	Yes. (DL123/2009, 21 st May; DL 258/2009, 25 th Sept)	
2.6. Is the text of laws and regulations (relevant part) available in English translation?	No, only in Portuguese – single official language.	
2.7. Do you have example descriptions of typical 3D parcels (spatial units) for networks; either 'prototype' or 'operational'?	No.	
2.8. If the network (legal) objects break at the surface parcel, how do you deal with intersecting networks or vertically parallel networks?	Network objects define automatically a buffer area (so called "restriction & public utility areas"), both 2D/3D, within which private rights – if it is the case – cease.	
2.9. Any other geometric issues related to the registration of networks?		

3. Construction/building units

This refers to 3D properties that are related to constructions and apartment (condominium) buildings. The individual units are often defined by the actual walls and structure of a building, rather than by metes and bounds. E.g. “unit 5 on level 6 of ... building”.

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
3.1. Do you register 3D construction/building units?	Yes. This happens when a construction/building constitutes itself a property unit as a whole.	
3.2. If so, what are the most important types? E.g. apartment units, or also other buildings or even more general constructions (infra related; such as bridge, tunnel or even other, such as windmills,..)	Houses; apartments; garages; storages; shops (either on streets or within shopping centres); industrial buildings.	
3.3. Does the jurisdiction have construction/building units? If so please, mention law and article(s).	Yes. Civil Law, Article 1415.	
3.4. Is the legal text available in original language?	Yes.	
3.5. Is the legal text (relevant part) available in English translation?	No, only in Portuguese – single official language.	
3.6. Do you have example descriptions of typical 3D parcels; either ‘prototype’ or ‘operational’?	No.	
3.7. What would be typical 3D boundaries in an apartment complex: middle of the wall and floor/ceiling, or walls, floors/ceiling as neutral/shared 3D space? Is it mentioned in any legislation or is it the convention?	External walls + building structure are neutral/shared 3D spaces. Hence, internal walls + floor/ceiling constitute the 3D boundaries of apartments.	
3.8. Is common property inside the building registered? If so, how?	No. Common property belongs to the Condominium (the actual 3D parcel); as such, it is shared and is implicitly constituent part of the whole 3D parcel.	
3.9. Who owns the common property inside the building?	The condominium, <i>i.e.</i> all owners in different percentages.	
3.10. Who owns the land on which the apartment is built?	Typically, the land is part of common property and hence is owned by the condominium. There are situations though in which the land may well belong to a third party.	
3.11. Do you allow sub-division of apartments or apartment blocks?		

3.12. Can the land on which the building is built be sub-divided or sold or mortgaged without the consent of majority of the apartment owners?	Not really sure... this is a rather specific situation.	
3.13. What is the numbering convention for apartments (please specify in terms of cadastral parcel as well as street addressing)	Typically, first digit stands for the floor, then followed by a letter 'numbering' the flat within that specific floor: e.g. 2C stands for flat on the 2 nd floor, 'number' C. Moreover, in smaller buildings with for instance only two flats on each floor, their relative position is reflected by the numbering as such: e.g. 3 <i>Esq</i> stands for flat on the 3 rd floor, on its <i>left-hand</i> side.	
3.14. Any other geometric issues?		

4. X/Y Coordinates

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
4.1. Do the plans of survey guarantee X/Y coordinates? (and are they relative or in an absolute spatial reference system?)	Yes, all in an absolute spatial reference system – currently, ETRS 89-TM09 Portugal.	
4.2. Are the cadastral database coordinates authoritative?	Yes.	
4.3. If not, what is the authoritative source of X/Y coordinates?		
4.4. Do you have parcels defined by the walls of a building (with no recorded geometry)?	Yes.	
4.5. What is the spatial reference system for X/Y Coordinates?	Currently, ETRS89-TM09 Portugal	
4.6. Any other X/Y coordinate issues?	In many instances coordinates still refer to national absolute reference systems previously in use, like: Datum 73 (or even Datum Lisboa, which is older).	

5. Z Coordinates/height representation

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
5.1. Are the Z coordinates of 3D parcels relative to local ground?	Yes,	
5.2. Are Z coordinates reduced to a standard datum (absolute)? If so, what is the spatial reference system for the Z coordinate?	Typically, no.	
5.3. In principle possible to store both relative and absolute Z coordinate?	Not applicable yet.	
5.4. Is the earth surface (height) explicitly stored (in the DCDB or other accessible register)?	Yes.	
5.5. What is the source of elevation for the 2D surface parcel?	The national Z reference system, Datum Cascais.	
5.6. Any other Z coordinate issues?		

6. Temporal Issues

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
6.1. Are temporal limits part of the definition of a parcel (2D or 3D)?	Yes.	
6.2. Are moving parcels allowed?	No.	
6.3. Are there any limitations on the range of temporal limits? (e.g. only on 3D apartments).	As far as we are aware, no.	
6.4. Are there any attempt to integrate 3D space and temporal representations, into a single 4D space/time representation?	No, not aware.	
6.5. In the case of tidal boundaries, what happens to the 3D ambulatory parcel if the 2D land parcel changes extent due to the movement of High Water Mark?	Not applicable. Tidal boundaries are not considered and hence “ambulatory parcels” don’t exist; 2D parcels’ extent remains in principle the same.	
6.6. Any other temporal issues?		

7. Rights, Restrictions and Responsibilities

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
7.1. Range of RRR on 3D parcels.	No specific rules on 3D parcels – there is no 3D cadastre concept yet.	
7.2. Are there any limitations on the range of rights related to 3D spatial units? (e.g. subterranean parcels must be owned by Govt).	Yes, depending on a wide range of cases.	
7.3. Are there any limitations on the range of restrictions or responsibilities related to 3D spatial units? (i.e. currently in use and related to 2D spatial units, but that would not be applicable to 3D).	Not applicable.	
7.4. Are there RRRs that are only allowed in 3D (and not valid for 2D)	Not applicable.	
7.5. Is there specific legislation (laws, regulations) defining 3D RRR types? If so, provide details, e.g. references to documents/ articles.	Not applicable.	
7.6. Can 3D sub-surface/above-surface parcel be owned by someone other than the person owning the land parcel?	Yes. There are in fact several instances of such a situation.	
7.7. What applications do you foresee for 3D land administration?		
7.8. Are the administrative source documents (source of RRRs) title or deed based?	Title based.	
7.9 Who is responsible for the correctness of the specified 3D boundaries in spatial source documents (which authority)?	DGT (Directorate-General of Land), the national mapping agency is the cadastral authority.	
7.10. Is registration of 3D parcels done inside the cadastral mapping agency, the land registry or elsewhere?	The legal registration itself is done at “Registo Predial”, the land registry.	
7.11. Are 3D registrations handled by the same organisation that handles traditional (2D) land administration?	Not applicable.	
7.12. Do you supply paper-based titles or deeds or proof of ownership? If yes, does this contain depictions of the 2D or 3D parcel?	Yes. No 2D/3D depictions, description is still based on text.	
7.13. Any other RRR issues?		

8. DCDB (The Cadastral Database)

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
8.0. Is database schema LADM based?	Yes.	
8.1. Does the DCDB contain representation of 3D parcels (in any form)?	No. A 2D representation model was conceived but has not been fully implemented yet.	
8.2. If so, how are they represented (in the DCDB)?	Not applicable.	
8.3. If so, how are they presented on cadastral “maps” (including screen presentations)?	Not applicable.	
8.4. Are there possibilities to store geometry of 3D parcels in the DCDB?	No, only 2D representations are handled.	
8.5. Is it possible to manage a 3D topological structure in the DCDB?	Not sure, but do not think so – DCDB is based on a 2D model	
8.6. Are constraints/rules defined for valid 3D objects (closed volume, no overlap, no gap in 3D)? What about rules for a mix of 2D and 3D representations?	Not applicable.	
8.7. How can internal and external user query and visualize the 3D content supporting rotating, slicing, transparency, perspective (3D web/view service, 3D pdf documents,...)?	Not applicable.	
8.8. What Spatial DBMS software do you use? Any 3D capabilities included and used?	Not applicable.	
8.9. Do you have any validation rules for 3D representation in the database?	Not applicable.	
8.10. What (GIS/CAD) software is used for updating, editing, analysis, and visualization of the cadastral data? Any 3D capabilities included and used?	Not applicable.	
8.11. What web software is used for remote data access/distribution and visualization? Any 3D capabilities included and used?	Not applicable.	
8.12. Is your DCDB organised as Multi-Layers or Object Oriented or some other data model?	Not applicable.	
8.13. How do you query 3D objects in your DCDB?	Not applicable.	
8.14. Is it possible to query neighbourhood parcels to a 3D object,	Not applicable.	

vertically as well as horizontally?		
8.15. Any other DCDB issues?		

9. Plans of Survey (including field sketches)

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
9.1. Do the survey plans carry 3D parcel representations?	Not at the minute, only 2D representations are carried out.	
9.2. If so, how are they represented?		
9.3. Is there specific legislation (regulations) describing the requirements for Plans of Survey in 3D? If so, please give link to the relevant documents.	Not applicable.	
9.4. Is sketch level allowed (low geometric quality, but in principle enough to indicate the 3D object)?	Not applicable.	
9.5. Is it possible to define a 3D parcel by referring to other 3D real world objects/ topography (and not specifying coordinates)?	Not applicable.	
9.6. In what format are the 3D parcels submitted for registration; attached to legal document in a single pdf (which has good 3D capabilities) or in an extension of (city)GML for 3D parcels, or....?	Not applicable.	
9.7. Are the 3D parcels somehow checked for spatial validity; e.g. volume is closed, does not overlap with neighbour volume (and also no unwanted 3D gaps)?	Not applicable.	
9.8. Do you have examples of (prototype or production) 3D survey plans available?	Not applicable.	
9.9. Are any reference objects visible on the survey plan (e.g. real buildings, roads, that is 3D topography)?	Not applicable.	
9.10. What form of 3D data acquisition is used (CAD, terrestrial surveying, sketches, stereo/oblique images, laser scanning,...)?	Not applicable.	
9.11. What software do you use for creating and processing survey plans? Any 3D capabilities included and used?	Not applicable.	
9.12. Can 3D parcels be subdivided, consolidated or nullified?	Not applicable.	
9.13. Is there any existing technical circular or directive to assist Surveyors in 3D data collection in the field?	Not applicable.	
9.14. Are the surveyors required to	This is in fact the case for	

undertake a field survey for 3D cadastral data?	current 2D surveys.	
9.15. Are building construction plans used to compile 3D cadastral information for apartments?	This will be most likely the case, but not yet.	
9.16. Is 2D/3D field survey done by private licensed surveyors or by government surveyors?	Private licensed surveyors currently do all.	
9.17. Are plans of survey created for each new 2D/3D parcel or are they updated in an index map or a cadastral database.	All of them are newly being created for each 2D parcel.	
9.18. Do you show dimensions or isometric views of 3D parcels on survey plans (do you also store this in a database)	Not applicable.	
9.19. Any other survey plan issues?		

10. Dissemination of 3D Cadastral information

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
10.1. Is there a general purpose web-based dissemination of 2D cadastral (graphical or text) information (e.g. a portal for the public or for professionals)? If yes, does it include 3D data?	That's what SiNerGIC (a centralised distributed cadastral management system) is all about – not fully implemented yet. It does not cover 3D cadastre, thus no 3D data included.	
10.2. Are specific file formats or standards used to distribute 3D Cadastral information? (e.g. LandXML, CityGML, BIM/IFC, 3D pdf,...)	Not applicable.	
10.3. Are there specific cartographic styling rules for representing 3D cadastral plans, or to represent 3D cadastral objects on 2D cadastral maps?	Not applicable.	
10.4. Are there specific cartographic styling rules for 3D cadastral maps (models; e.g. as disseminated in 3D pdf)? If yes, are there 3D specific cartographic rules developed or being developed?	Not applicable.	
10.5. Is the 3D Cadastral information accessible in integrated manner with the 2D Cadastral information?	Not applicable.	
10.6. Are there specific symbols on the 2D cadastral map (paper, digital or web-based) indicating the presence of 3D Cadastral objects (and in web-context perhaps even linked)?	Not applicable.	
10.7. Is the legal information (RRRs and Parties) available in integrated manner in dissemination portal with the 3D Cadastral objects? (even if source of legal data may be a different organization, but then use information infrastructure approach)	Not applicable.	
10.8. Are 2D/3D cadastral data available to the general public or just to the relevant parties?	Existing 2D data is currently available only to relevant parties.	
10.9. Any other 3D cadastral information dissemination issues?		

11. Statistical information

This part of the questionnaire refers to statistical information (and is most relevant for jurisdictions with parts of 3D Cadastre registration operational, but all are encouraged to complete this section, and especially the expectations for 2018).

	Status 2014	Expectations 2018
11.1. What is the smallest 2D and 3D parcel that is present/ allowed to be registered in the land administration?	In terms of 2D, a storage room in a residential building.	
11.2. What is the largest 2D and 3D parcel that is present allowed to be registered in the land administration?	A whole residential building as a single property.	
11.3. What is the typical (or average) size of 2D and 3D parcels which are registered in the land administration? Subdivide by nature of 3D parcel when relevant (e.g. related to building, apartment, airspace, tunnel,...)	Difficult to work out	
11.4. How many 2D and 3D parcels do you currently have in your land administration?	Roughly 17 millions, 1/3 of which have been 2D surveyed in the field.	
11.5. Which year did you start registering 3D parcels in the land administration?	Not yet.	
11.6. What is the ratio of 3D parcels in rural vs. urban areas?	Not applicable.	
11.7. Please specify names of cities or towns or suburbs or regions or locations where there are significant numbers of 3D parcels.	Within the urban boundaries of all cities and most of towns.	
11.8. Please provide the following data: (a) Size of jurisdiction in square kilometres (b) Current number of 2D parcels (c) Current number of 3D parcels (d) Current population	(a) 92,212 (b) 5,600,000 (roughly) (c) None. (d) 10,562,178 (2011 Census)	
11.9. Approximately what are the proportions of various types of the 3D parcels (related to apartments, subsurface parking, subsurface shopping centres, bridges, tunnels, airspace, utility networks, etc)?	No idea.	
11.10. Approximately what surface area of the jurisdiction is affected by 3D parcels (the total area of all the footprint of all 3D parcels).	No idea.	
11.11. Any other interesting statistical fact(s)?		

12. Reflection

This section is only relevant in case also in 2010 the 3D cadastres questionnaire for your jurisdictions was completed (otherwise skip this section).

	Remarks
12.1. Compared to the 2010 expectations, which 3D land administration developments did go faster than expected?	None. 3D cadastre is still very much a scientific research topic.
12.2. Same question, but now, which developments did go slower than expected?	Not applicable.
12.3. If some (limited) form of 3D Land administration functionality has become available, what are the observed benefits? And for who?	None.
12.4. What are the (top-3) challenges of issues to be addressed to realize further 3D Land administration progress?	
12.5. Any other reflections?	

13. Other Issues

Please include any other issues that may be of interest in an international context. For example, in some foreign jurisdictions 3D parcels can only be separated by horizontal planes.

	Remarks
13.1. Country (State, Province)	Portugal
13.2. Your name, function/position and your organization	José-Paulo Elvas DUARTE de ALMEIDA, Lecturer of Geomatic Engineering Department of Mathematics (Geometric Eng. Lab.) Faculty of Science & Technology University of Coimbra
13.3. Contact details: address email, telephone	Largo Dom Dinis, Apartado 3008 P – 3001-501 COIMBRA uc25666@uc.pt +351 239 791 160
13.4. Observations	In the current 2D approach in use, 3D parcels are to some extent implicitly considered by being separated and registered by horizontal planes, what in the Portuguese cadastral law is called as “horizontal property” domain.

References

ISO 19152:2012 'Geographic information - Land Administration Domain Model (LADM),
http://www.iso.org/iso/iso_catalogue/catalogue_tc/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=51206

Peter van Oosterom, Jantien Stoter, Hendrik Ploeger, Rod Thompson and Sudarshan Karki (2011).
World-wide Inventory of the Status of 3D Cadastres in 2010 and Expectations for 2014. presented at
the FIG Working Week 2011, Marrakech, 21 p.
http://www.gdmc.nl/3DCadastres/literature/3Dcad_2011_02.pdf

Sudarshan Karki (2013). 3D Cadastre Implementation Issues in Australia. MSc Thesis, University of
Southern Queensland (Master of Spatial Science Research), 162 p.,
http://eprints.usq.edu.au/23560/1/Karki_2013_whole.pdf